

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,412	12/23/2003	Ikuo Kawauchi	Q79133	7933	
23373	7590 02/21/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			GILLIAM, BARBARA LEE		
2100 PENNSY SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1752		

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/743,412	KAWAUCHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
. Barbara L. Gilliam	1752	

	. Barbara L. Gilliam	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo of the final rejection.	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	hut prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the proposed in the proposed in</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Americanient (	(1 TOL-02-1).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration.	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for	allowance
See Continuation Sheet.	DTO/SB/09 or DTO 4440\ Bosos N	lo(s)	
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	r 10/35/06 or P10-1449) Paper N ( )	10(8) Barbara C. Gi	illiam
		Barbara L. Gilliam Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752	

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner maintains the specific combination of compounds claimed by Applicant would have been at once envisaged from the teachings of Miyake et al. and Tomita et al. Applicant's arguments to the contrary are not persuasive. A specific example in the prior art is not required for anticipatory rejections.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant is correct in stating that prior to the amendment of February 2, 2006, 1-12 claims were pending. Upon reviewing the record, the Examiner simply made a typo and claim 12 was fully examined. Claim 12 should have been included in both anticipatory rejections. Upon entry of the present amendment claims 1-11 are pending.